

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Address COMMISSIONER 22313-1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/965,140 09/26/2001 Jerome L. Elkind 6252 23494 12/31/2003 EXAMINER TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED SIEFKE, SAMUEL P POBOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1743

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,140	ELKIND, JEROME L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	Samuel P Siefke	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del></del>	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This a	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/965,140

Art Unit: 1743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **1-29** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuo (US 2003/0023189).

Kuo discloses a handheld diagnostic device with a renewable biosensor: The handheld diagnostic device is used for diagnostics testing on body fluids. The device comprises a vibration means for stimulating the production of a body fluid sample and for assisting mixing of the sample solution. The vibration of the test channel accelerates the mixing of the reagent with the test sample (page 4, col. 2, para. 34). A microprocessor controls the timing of the vibration of the test head, activation of the sensors and the analysis of the output signal from the sensors (page 6, para. 83). An algorithm in the microprocessor recognized the peak and compares the maximum value with an established threshold value (page 6, para. 83) where the sample readings are stored in the memory of the microprocessor for analysis. The sensors are optical fiber

Art Unit: 1743

sensors, either transmittance or reflective type, which are used to measure the opacity or calorimetric response as a representative of a predetermined component in the body fluid sample (page 6, para. 82). To adjust for possible effects of body fluid residue in the test channel and sensor signal drifts in the system, the sensor readings are taken automatically prior to the collection of a body fluid sample for calibration purposes (page 6, para. 83). The display has key buttons for entering the expiration date of a reagent cartridge and the microprocessor is programmed to provide warning signal when the expiration date is approaching (page 6, para. 84). The reagent and sample are contained in the sample mixing chamber by a lid that opens and closes, sealing the reagents and sample in the mixing container (closing door fig. 5d, septum fig. 5cl a removable cap 407 fig. 6b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,140

Art Unit: 1743

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo (US 2003/0023189) in view of Lesho (USPN 6,553,244).

Kuo discloses a handheld diagnostic device as discussed above.

Kuo does not teach using a wireless transmitter to send and receive data about the monitoring of an analyte.

Lesho teaches monitoring an analyte and then using a wireless communication device send and receive data to and from a computer. The readouts and control signals will be relayed via wire-like or technology between the collection and sensing assembly and the display unit which could take the form of a small watch, a pager, or a credit card-sized device. This system also provides the ability to relay an alert message or signal during nighttime use, for example, to a site remote from the subject being monitored (col. 24, lines 35-45). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kuo to include a wireless transmitter and receiver to send and receive analyte data and calibration data to allow for quick and precise handling of data and to be able to calibrate the device quicker.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 703-306-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,140

Art Unit: 1743

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Sam P. Siefke

December 10, 2003

Jill Warden Superryory Patent Examine